

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,301	09/30/2003	Jack A. McClure	25106	8163
28624 7	7590 03/28/2006		EXAMINER	
WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT., CH 1J27			ELKINS, GARY E	
P.O. BOX 977		Jack A. McClure	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FEDERAL WA	EDERAL WAY, WA 98063		3727	
		DATE MAILE		6 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/676,301	MCCLURE, JACK A.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gary E. Elkins	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to o	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 February 2006</u> .					
•	a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accord	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-7</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	7 <u>,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
•••	• •	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do				
3) Information Disclosure Si Paper No(s)/Mail Date	tatement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:	atent Application (F 10-132)			

Application/Control Number: 10/676,301 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McClure '377.

McClure '377 discloses a blank including a top panel flap 52, a first corner panel 66, a second corner panel 68 and a third corner panel 70. The third corner panel includes cutouts 84 and the portion cutout to form the tab 52, both of which extend to the free end of the third corner panel as claimed.

With respect to the newly presented limitations, it is noted that the cutouts or openings/areas 84 and 52 are each cut from the edges which form the respective cutout and that those edges include an edge which is substantially parallel to and an edge which is substantially perpendicular to the foldline 108 as claimed.

3. Claims 1, 2, 5-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McClure '020.

With respect to claims 1, 2 and 5, McClure '020 discloses a blank including a top panel flap 28, a first corner panel 70, a second corner panel 72 and a third corner panel 74. The third corner panel 74 includes cutouts 30, 78, each of which extends to a free end of the third corner panel as claimed.

With respect to claims 6, 7 and 9, McClure '020 discloses a container including a third corner panel 74 with a cutout 78 which, as shown in fig. 4, forms a top edge that is below a top edge of the end panel 22 in the region of the third corner panel cutout, i.e. below the top edge of the end panel 22 adjacent the cutout 66.

With respect to the newly presented limitations, it is noted that the cutouts or openings/areas 30, 78 are each cut from the edges which form the respective cutout and that those edges include an edge which is substantially parallel to and an edge which is substantially perpendicular to the foldline 92 as claimed.

4. Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Muise or Osborne.

With respect to claims 1, 2 and 5, each of Muise and Osborne discloses a blank including a top panel flap (projection adjacent slot 60; 44, respectively), first corner panel (36, 28, respectively), second corner panel (44, 32, respectively) and a third corner panel (46, 34, respectively). The third corner panel in each of Muise and Osborne includes cutouts, i.e. the cutout formed by the top flap in Osborne, cutout 48 in Muise, cutout 38 in Osborne, the cutout or notch formed adjacent 40 in Osborne, cutout 58 in Muise and, with respect to the Muise, the cutout between the portion 54 and the end of the third corner panel 46. Each of the cutouts extends to a free end of the third corner panel as claimed.

With respect to claims 6, 7, 9 and 10, the third corner panel in each reference includes a cutout (adjacent 54 to the end of the third corner panel 46; adjacent 40 at the top edge of the third corner panel 34, respectively) that is below a top edge of the end panel 20, 24, respectively in the region or adjacent to the third corner panel cutout, i.e. the top edge adjacent 54 in Muise and the

top edge adjacent 40 in Osborne is below the end panel at 26 in Muise and 42 in Osborne. The top edges at 26 and 42 are considered to be within "the region of the corner third panel cut-out" as claimed. With respect to claim 10, note is made that the third corner panels in Muise and Osborne do not abut and therefor extend less than half of the width of the end panel as claimed.

With respect to the newly presented limitations, it is noted that the cutouts or cutout openings/areas as defined above are each cut from the edges which form the respective cutout and that those edges include an edge which is substantially parallel to and an edge which is substantially perpendicular to the connection as claimed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 21 February 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The remarks assert that none of McClure '377, McClure '020, Muise or Osborne evidences a cutout which is cut from edges of the corner third panel as claimed. In response, each of the references includes multiple cutouts cut from edges as claimed. It is noted that the term cutout is considered to be inclusive of an area or opening or notch in the material of the panel which is cut from the panel along the cut edges.

Conclusion

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses in Office Actions to (571)273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by Applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the Examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet.

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained form the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. Also, copies of an office action or other file information may be obtained from the Private PAIR system. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions regarding access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to Gary Elkins at telephone number (571)272-4537. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday, Tuesday and Thursday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Nathan Newhouse can be reached at (571)272-4544.

Gary E. Elkins Primary Examine:

Art Unit 3727

gee

19 March 2006